

HIGHWAY RESEARCH REPORT

CORRELATION OF SEISMIC VELOCITIES WITH EARTHWORK FACTORS

INTERIM REPORT NO. 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BUSINESS AND TRANSPORTATION AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

MATERIALS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

RESEARCH REPORT

CA-HWY-MR-632103 (3) 72-23

Prepared in Cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration August, 1972

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

MATERIALS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT
5900 FOLSOM BLVD., SACRAMENTO 95819



August 1972

Interim Report No. 3
M & R 632103

Mr. R. J. Datel
State Highway Engineer

Dear Sir:

Submitted herewith is an interim research report titled:

CORRELATION OF SEISMIC VELOCITIES

WITH EARTHWORK FACTORS

INTERIM REPORT NO. 3

Travis Smith
Principal Investigator

Marvin McCauley
Ronald Mearns
Co-Investigators

Karl Baumeister
Analysis & Report

Very truly yours,


JOHN L. BEATON
Materials and Research Engineer

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

ST. J. PATRICK'S

REFERENCES: Smith, Travis; McCauley, Marvin; Mearns, Ronald; Baumeister, Karl. "Correlation of Seismic Velocities with Earthwork Factors, Interim Report No. 3, CA-HWY-MR632103(3)-72-23 May 1972.

ABSTRACT: This study was made to determine whether seismic data can be used to obtain satisfactory design earthwork factors for roadway excavation.

The study shows an apparent correlation between seismic velocity and earthwork factor for the sedimentary rock types encountered on this project. A design earthwork factor based on this correlation agrees more closely with the field earthwork factor than the design earthwork factor actually used for this project.

KEYWORDS: Design earthwork factor, field earthwork factor, earthwork factor, swell, shrinkage, seismic velocity, construction, relative compaction, correlation, sedimentary, strata.

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the situation.

1. 1990年10月，在“中国—东盟”合作中，中国首次提出“中国—东盟”合作。

1. 1990年12月25日，在“九七”香港回归前，香港各界人士纷纷发表文章，就香港前途问题提出自己的看法。其中，香港各界人士对香港前途的展望，以及对香港回归后的信心，成为当时社会关注的焦点。

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the construction personnel of District 07 of the California Division of highways.

Special thanks are extended to Mr. Dale Neumen, the Resident Engineer on this project, for his time, comments and for furnishing the construction information used in this report.

This investigation was made in cooperation with the U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (Federal Program No. HPR-1(9) F-07-92).

The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Federal Highway Administration.

set of 144 pages, and a
 simplified one to be distributed to the

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are undernourished has declined from 1.1 billion to 800 million. The number of people who are malnourished has declined from 1.5 billion to 1 billion. The number of people who are obese has increased from 100 million to 300 million. The number of people who are overweight has increased from 100 million to 300 million. The number of people who are obese and overweight has increased from 100 million to 300 million. The number of people who are obese and overweight has increased from 100 million to 300 million.

1. 1990年12月15日，在《人民日报》发表署名文章，指出“中国要富，农村必须富，农村必须实行联产承包责任制”。

Journal of Management Education 30(6)p. 789-804
© The Author(s) 2006. Reprints and permissions:
<http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2
CONSTRUCTION METHODS	3
PROCEDURE	4
GEOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF CUTS	6

SECRET

SECRET

SECRET

SECRET

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Location Map and Project Map

Figure 2 Relationship between seismic velocities and earthwork factors for sedimentary rock

Figure 3 Relationship between seismic velocities and earthwork factors for metasedimentary rock

Plate 1 Stations 301 and 312 Santa Ana Canyon

2 " 327 " 361 " " "

3 " 370 " 391 " " "

4 " 393 " 394 " " "

5 " 400 " 402 " " "

6 " 405 " 447 " " "

7 Station 452 Santa Ana Canyon and Station 519 E.B. Freeway

8 Looking back across Coal Canyon and top of contoured area east of Coal Canyon.

REPORT OF THE

COMMISSIONER OF THE

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

FOR THE YEAR 1904

WASHINGTON, D. C.

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

1905

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

100-10000

INTRODUCTION

A report dated June 1971, titled "Correlation of Seismic Velocities with Earthwork Factors, Interim Report" described the study of a construction project in San Diego County and correlated seismic velocities to field earthwork factors for a granitic type rock.

Another report dated January 1972, titled "Correlation of Seismic Velocities with Earthwork Factors, Interim Report No. 2," described a study of a construction project in Tuolumne County. This study correlated seismic velocities and field earthwork factors for metasedimentary rock, metaigneous rock, and serpentine.

The study described in this report was made in Orange County on Route 91 between 1.7 miles east of Anaheim and the Riverside County line. The project map is shown on Figure 1.

The quantities of excavation used in this study were based on aerial topographic data and fully checked. The computed embankment quantities were based on the as designed fill sections superimposed on the original ground as determined from aerial topographic data. The constructed embankment sections were observed to be substantially the same as the design embankment sections and therefore these computed quantities were used. The field earthwork factor was determined by dividing the embankment quantity by the amount of roadway excavation that went into roadway embankment (roadway excavation minus estimated quantity of excavation that was used as aggregate base).

The design earthwork factor was estimated on the basis of borings taken at the jobsite.

The seismic velocities were determined by use of an Electro-Tech 12-channel seismic instrument. The wave energy was provided by explosives.

The principal objectives of this research study are to:

- 1) Compare field earthwork factors and design earthwork factors.
- 2) Determine which construction procedures influenced field earthwork factors.
- 3) Determine whether earthwork factors can be correlated to seismic velocities.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The average design earthwork factor for the entire project was 0.91, while the corresponding field earthwork factor was 1.00 resulting in a difference of 9%.

The construction procedures which influence the field earthwork factor are those that affect the overall in-place density of the embankments. On this project, most of the excavation was done by scrapers. This results in the harder material being broken into smaller sizes than would be the case if most of the excavation were by shovel and the hauling done by trucks. Smaller maximum size of excavated material will result in less dense embankments (relatively higher field earthwork factors) where grading of material size is uniform.

The degree of relative compaction obtained in a fill is a factor which can be qualitatively evaluated by comparing the average relative compaction on the job (94% in this case) to the specified relative compaction (90%). If the average relative compaction had been 90% the field earthwork factor would have been 1.044. 4.4% of the fill on this project would be equivalent to 292,000 cu. yds.

The study indicates there is little or no appreciable difference in the relationship between seismic velocity and field earthwork factor when metasedimentary rock and sedimentary rock are compared to one another - at least in the velocity ranges (1,000 fps to 4,000 fps) encountered on this project. Figure 2 shows the relationship between seismic velocity and approximate field earthwork factor developed from this study.

Because of the variables involved, it is improbable that a precise method can be developed for estimating the design earthwork factor. However, use of seismic data for this purpose appears to show considerable promise in providing more reliable earthwork factor information, especially when compared to previous methods.

It is recommended that the graph in Figure 2 be used on a trial basis, where applicable, to determine its usefulness in obtaining design earthwork factors.

The curve was developed for sandstone and shale and therefore would probably be of limited use for conglomerate.

Prerequisites for a successful check on the method are (a) accurate load counts as to where identified material is placed, (b) accurate figures for cut and embankment volumes, (c) a fairly uniform material in the areas to be excavated (d) knowledge as to the geologic structure of the material to be excavated, and (e) sufficient seismic data.

CONSTRUCTION METHODS

The project was nearly completed when the field investigation for this study began. Construction methods and details were obtained from the Resident Engineer and the inspectors on the project.

The major portion of the excavation was loosely cemented sandstone which was generally easily rippable. Shale was present in substantial quantities and sandy conglomerate was found at various locations. These also were rippable but the shale at the lower depths on either side of Coal Canyon was quite difficult to excavate by ripping.

Excavation was mostly by scrapers although considerable earth was moved by truck trailers and dozers. The compaction was achieved by hauling equipment and by 2-axle pneumatic and steel-tired compactors (Caterpillar 834). The average relative compaction was 94% by test.

PROCEDURE

The volumes of roadway excavation and embankment were computed by aerial topographic data taken before and after construction. The type of rock encountered in the excavation was largely sandstone with some shale and minor amounts of clay and conglomerate. Since the material was roughly 85% sandstone, no adjustment was made for seismic velocities that may have been affected by materials other than sandstone.

The total quantity for roadway excavation was 6,843,815 cu. yds. Of this quantity, it is estimated from load counts that 186,000 cu. yds. was used for aggregate base material and therefore the excavated volume used in embankment was 6,658,000 cu. yds. The volume of embankment was approximately 6,633,360 cu. yds. The overall field earthwork factor from these figures is 1.00 compared to the design earthwork factor of 0.91.

The cross-sections of the cut areas were plotted from aerial topographic data. Interfaces of the various strata were plotted on these sections as per interpretation of seismic data and were identified by seismic velocities. The volumes of excavation per stratum were computed for all the cuts. The proportion of excavated material that fell within a certain range of seismic velocities could thus be ascertained for any particular cut.

While load counts are useful in a quantitative evaluation of a project, their use is limited in deriving a direct relationship between seismic velocity and earthwork factor. This is because excavation in any location will generally be carried through several layers of varying density as defined by seismic data. Thus, there is no way of using load counts to determine what the field earthwork factor was for each of these layers because their location in the fill and their compacted volume are indeterminate from load count data.

Weathered sedimentary and metasedimentary rocks have similar grain structure, especially when they are derived from the same type of sediments. It was therefore assumed that the weathered metasedimentary rock that was encountered in Tuolumne County (Interim Report No. 2) had the same seismic characteristics as the weathered sedimentary rock in this study. The curve in Figure 3 of this report shows the curve for the metasedimentary rock. The lower point on this curve, which is in the weathered zone, is assumed to be a point on the curve for sedimentary rock. Various curves going through this point were tried to see which one was most compatible with the data obtained in this study as determined by overall field earthwork factor.

For the various seismic velocities encountered on this project, field earthwork factors were taken off the trial curves. These factors were then assigned to the volumes of excavation in the corresponding velocity zones, and the overall field earthwork factor was computed for each trial curve. The trial curve most compatible with the data obtained on this study is colinear with the curve for metasedimentary rock when plotted on a semi-logarithmic plot and gave an overall field earthwork factor of 1.00 which corresponded to the job field earthwork factor. (See Figure 2.)

The use of this curve for sedimentary rock should give design earthwork factors more reliable than the methods that have been used in the past.

GEOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF CUTS

The geological descriptions for the cuts made on this project are as described below. The earthwork factor graph in Figure 2 should only be applied to similar materials until more experience has been gained with sedimentary rock.

Sta. 301 to 311 (Santa Ana Canyon Road)

Weathered loosely cemented sandy clayey conglomerate.

Sta. 350 to 354 (Santa Ana Canyon Road)

Weathered sandy silt and sandy gravelley clay.

Sta. 358 to 360 (Santa Ana Canyon Road)

Weathered clayey sandstone.

Sta. 46 - 50 (off ramp 5)

Weathered sandy clay.

Sta. 355 to 371

Material is weathered near surface, grading to moderately weathered at a depth of about 50 feet. From Sta. 355 to 368, material is mostly sandstone with some shale. From Sta 368 to 369 + 50 material is hard laminated shale and sandstone, dipping approximately 70°. The material from Sta. 369 + 50 to 371+ is all thin bedded moderately hard shale, with the same approximate 70° dip.

Sta. 380 to 395

Sta. 380 to 387. Material is loosely cemented reddish brown sandy conglomerate.

Sta. 387 to 395. Material is chiefly moist weathered fine grained sandstone with iron and sulfur staining. There are thin to moderately thick interbeds of weathered claystone.

Sta. 435 to 440

The material is predominantly buff cemented sandstone with a thick nearly flat lying bed of red pebbly sandstone and pebbly conglomerate in the middle of the cut face.

Sta. 515 to 526

The material is mostly buff loosely cemented sandy shale and sandstone. In the deepest portion of the cut is a very hard dark gray shale.

Sta. 529 to 540

Sta. 529 to 531. Buff loosely cemented clayey sandstone.


Sta. 531 to 540. Chiefly buff loosely cemented clayey gravelly sandstone with some loosely cemented sandy conglomerate. In the deepest portion of the cut is a minor amount of very hard dark gray shale.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DO hereby certify that the within and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears on the records of the Department of the Interior.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Secretary of the Interior has hereunto set his hand and the seal of the Department of the Interior at Washington, D.C., this 1st day of January, 1900.

JOHN W. FOSTER, Secretary of the Interior.

Figure 1






Figure 2

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SEISMIC VELOCITIES
AND EARTHWORK FACTORS FOR SEDIMENTARY ROCK





Figure 3


RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SEISMIC VELOCITIES
AND EARTHWORK FACTORS
FOR METASEDIMENTARY ROCK




2009 年 12 月 23 日 星期三

[illegible]

1. 1992-1993 1994-1995 1996-1997 1998-1999 2000-2001 2002-2003 2004-2005 2006-2007 2008-2009 2010-2011 2012-2013 2014-2015 2016-2017 2018-2019 2020-2021 2021-2022 2022-2023 2023-2024 2024-2025 2025-2026 2026-2027 2027-2028 2028-2029 2029-2030 2030-2031 2031-2032 2032-2033 2033-2034 2034-2035 2035-2036 2036-2037 2037-2038 2038-2039 2039-2040 2040-2041 2041-2042 2042-2043 2043-2044 2044-2045 2045-2046 2046-2047 2047-2048 2048-2049 2049-2050 2050-2051 2051-2052 2052-2053 2053-2054 2054-2055 2055-2056 2056-2057 2057-2058 2058-2059 2059-2060 2060-2061 2061-2062 2062-2063 2063-2064 2064-2065 2065-2066 2066-2067 2067-2068 2068-2069 2069-2070 2070-2071 2071-2072 2072-2073 2073-2074 2074-2075 2075-2076 2076-2077 2077-2078 2078-2079 2079-2080 2080-2081 2081-2082 2082-2083 2083-2084 2084-2085 2085-2086 2086-2087 2087-2088 2088-2089 2089-2090 2090-2091 2091-2092 2092-2093 2093-2094 2094-2095 2095-2096 2096-2097 2097-2098 2098-2099 2099-2100 2100-2101 2101-2102 2102-2103 2103-2104 2104-2105 2105-2106 2106-2107 2107-2108 2108-2109 2109-2110 2110-2111 2111-2112 2112-2113 2113-2114 2114-2115 2115-2116 2116-2117 2117-2118 2118-2119 2119-2120 2120-2121 2121-2122 2122-2123 2123-2124 2124-2125 2125-2126 2126-2127 2127-2128 2128-2129 2129-2130 2130-2131 2131-2132 2132-2133 2133-2134 2134-2135 2135-2136 2136-2137 2137-2138 2138-2139 2139-2140 2140-2141 2141-2142 2142-2143 2143-2144 2144-2145 2145-2146 2146-2147 2147-2148 2148-2149 2149-2150 2150-2151 2151-2152 2152-2153 2153-2154 2154-2155 2155-2156 2156-2157 2157-2158 2158-2159 2159-2160 2160-2161 2161-2162 2162-2163 2163-2164 2164-2165 2165-2166 2166-2167 2167-2168 2168-2169 2169-2170 2170-2171 2171-2172 2172-2173 2173-2174 2174-2175 2175-2176 2176-2177 2177-2178 2178-2179 2179-2180 2180-2181 2181-2182 2182-2183 2183-2184 2184-2185 2185-2186 2186-2187 2187-2188 2188-2189 2189-2190 2190-2191 2191-2192 2192-2193 2193-2194 2194-2195 2195-2196 2196-2197 2197-2198 2198-2199 2199-2200 2200-2201 2201-2202 2202-2203 2203-2204 2204-2205 2205-2206 2206-2207 2207-2208 2208-2209 2209-2210 2210-2211 2211-2212 2212-2213 2213-2214 2214-2215 2215-2216 2216-2217 2217-2218 2218-2219 2219-2220 2220-2221 2221-2222 2222-2223 2223-2224 2224-2225 2225-2226 2226-2227 2227-2228 2228-2229 2229-2230 2230-2231 2231-2232 2232-2233 2233-2234 2234-2235 2235-2236 2236-2237 2237-2238 2238-2239 2239-2240 2240-2241 2241-2242 2242-2243 2243-2244 2244-2245 2245-2246 2246-2247 2247-2248 2248-2249 2249-2250 2250-2251 2251-2252 2252-2253 2253-2254 2254-2255 2255-2256 2256-2257 2257-2258 2258-2259 2259-2260 2260-2261 2261-2262 2262-2263 2263-2264 2264-2265 2265-2266 2266-2267 2267-2268 2268-2269 2269-2270 2270-2271 2271-2272 2272-2273 2273-2274 2274-2275 2275-2276 2276-2277 2277-2278 2278-2279




Sta. 301 Santa Ana Canyon




Sta. 312 Santa Ana Canyon

Plate 1.




Sta. 327 Santa Ana Canyon




Sta. 361 Santa Ana Canyon

Plate 2.




Sta. 370 Santa Ana Canyon



Sta. 391 Santa Ana Canyon

Plate 3.




Sta. 393 Santa Ana Canyon




Sta. 394 Santa Ana Canyon

Plate 4.




Sta. 400 Santa Ana Canyon




Sta. 402 Santa Ana Canyon

Plate 5.




Sta. 405 Santa Ana Canyon




Sta. 447 Santa Ana Canyon

Plate 6.




Sta. 452 Santa Ana Canyon



Sta. 519 E.B. Freeway

Plate 7.



Looking back across Coal Canyon



Top of Contoured Area
East of Coal Canyon

Plate 8.